

STRONG DECAYS OF THE BOTTOM MESONS $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ AND $B(5970)$

Zhi-Gang Wang¹

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

Abstract

In this article, we study the two-body strong decays of the bottom mesons with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and obtain all the analytical expressions of the decay widths of the light pseudoscalar meson transitions among the S-wave, P-wave and D-wave bottom mesons. As an application, we tentatively assign the bottom meson $B(5970)$ as the $2S 1^-$, $1D 1^-$ and $1D 3^-$ states, respectively, and calculate the decay widths of the $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ and $B(5970)$, which can be confronted with the experimental data in the future.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw; 14.40.Nd

Key Words: Bottom mesons, Strong decays

1 Introduction

The orbitally excited B -mesons $B_J^*(5732)$ or B^{**} were firstly observed by the DELPHI, OPAL and ALEPH collaborations in electron-positron collisions at the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) [1]. In 2007, the D0 collaboration firstly observed the $B_1(5721)^0$ and $B_2(5747)^0$, and measured the masses $M_{B_1} = (5720.6 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.4) \text{ MeV}$ and $M_{B_2} = (5746.8 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.7) \text{ MeV}$ [2]. Later, the CDF collaboration confirmed the $B_1(5721)^0$ and $B_2(5747)^0$, and measured the masses $M_{B_1} = (5725.3^{+1.6+1.4}_{-2.2-1.5}) \text{ MeV}$, $M_{B_2} = (5740.2^{+1.7+0.9}_{-1.8-0.8}) \text{ MeV}$, and obtained the width $\Gamma_{B_2} = (22.7^{+3.8+3.2}_{-3.2-10.2}) \text{ MeV}$ for the first time [3]. Also in 2007, the CDF collaboration observed the $B_{s1}(5830)$ and $B_{s2}^*(5840)$, and measured the masses $M_{B_{s1}} = (5829.4 \pm 0.7) \text{ MeV}$ and $M_{B_{s2}^*} = (5839.6 \pm 0.7) \text{ MeV}$ [4]. The D0 collaboration confirmed the $B_{s2}^*(5840)$ and obtained the mass $M_{B_{s2}^*} = (5839.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.7) \text{ MeV}$ [5]. In 2012, the LHCb collaboration updated the masses $M_{B_{s1}} = (5828.40 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.41) \text{ MeV}$ and $M_{B_{s2}^*} = (5839.99 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.17) \text{ MeV}$ [6].

Recently, the CDF collaboration reported the first evidence for a new resonance $B(5970)$ in the $B^+\pi^-$ and $B^0\pi^+$ mass distributions with a significance of 4.4σ , and measured the masses $M_{B(5970)^0} = (5978 \pm 5 \pm 12) \text{ MeV}$, $M_{B(5970)^+} = (5961 \pm 5 \pm 12) \text{ MeV}$, and the widths $\Gamma_{B(5970)^0} = (70 \pm 18 \pm 31) \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma_{B(5970)^+} = (60 \pm 20 \pm 40) \text{ MeV}$ [7].

There have been several approaches to calculate the masses of the established bottom mesons $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$, such as the heavy quark effective theory [8], lattice QCD [9], potential models [10, 11, 12], heavy quark symmetry [13], heavy meson chiral theory [14], QCD string model [15], etc. The theoretical values vary in the range $M_{\text{exp}} \pm (50 - 100) \text{ MeV}$ [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Although the mass is a fundamental parameter in describing a hadron, the mass alone cannot validate the assignment. For example, now the doublet ($D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$) is widely accepted to be the $1P (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doublet, however, the masses of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ lie below the predictions of the potential models about $(100 - 150) \text{ MeV}$ [16].

In Table 1, we present the predictions from two typical potential models compared to the experimental data [11, 12, 17]. The CDF collaboration observed the $B(5970)$ in the strong decays $B(5970) \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$, $B^0\pi^+$ [7], the possible quantum numbers are $J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, 4^+, 5^-, \dots$. We can assign the $B(5970)$ as the $2S 1^-$, $1D 1^-$ and $1D 3^-$ states tentatively according to the masses, see Table 1. In Ref.[18], Y.Sun et al take the $B(5970)$ as the $2S 1^-$ state, and calculate the strong decays of the bottom mesons with the $^3P_0$ model.

In Refs.[19, 20], we study the strong decays of the charmed mesons $D(2550)$, $D(2600)$, $D(2750)$, $D(2760)$, $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$, $D_J^*(3000)$ with the heavy meson

¹E-mail:zgwang@aliyun.com.

n	L	s_ℓ	J^P	$b\bar{q}$ [11]	$b\bar{q}$ [12]	[17]	$b\bar{s}$ [11]	$b\bar{s}$ [12]	[17]
1	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^-	5280	5279	5279.55 ± 0.26	5372	5373	5366.7 ± 0.4
1	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^-	5326	5324	5325.2 ± 0.4	5414	5421	5415.8 ± 1.5
2	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^-	5890	5886	—	5976	5985	—
2	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^-	5906	5920	?5970	5992	6019	—
3	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^-	6379	6320	—	6467	6421	—
3	S	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^-	6387	6347	—	6475	6449	—
1	P	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^+	5749	5706	—	5833	5804	—
1	P	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^+	5774	5742	—	5865	5842	—
1	P	$\frac{3}{2}$	1^+	5723	5700	5723.5 ± 2.0	5831	5805	5828.7 ± 0.4
1	P	$\frac{3}{2}$	2^+	5741	5714	5743 ± 5	5842	5820	5839.96 ± 0.20
2	P	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^+	6221	6163	—	6318	6264	—
2	P	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^+	6281	6194	—	6345	6296	—
2	P	$\frac{3}{2}$	1^+	6209	6175	—	6321	6278	—
2	P	$\frac{3}{2}$	2^+	6260	6188	—	6359	6292	—
1	D	$\frac{1}{2}$	1^-	6119	6025	?5970	6209	6127	—
1	D	$\frac{3}{2}$	2^-	6121	6037	—	6218	6140	—
1	D	$\frac{3}{2}$	2^-	6103	5985	—	6189	6095	—
1	D	$\frac{5}{2}$	3^-	6091	5993	?5970	6191	6103	—

Table 1: The masses of the bottom mesons from two typical potential models compared to the experimental data.

effective theory in the leading order approximation, and calculate the decay widths and the ratios among the decay widths. The ratios can be compared to the experimental data in the future to distinguish the different assignments. The heavy meson effective theory have been applied to identify the charmed mesons [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25], and to calculate the radiative, vector-meson, two-pion decays of the heavy quarkonium states [26].

In this work, we study the two-body strong decays of the bottom mesons with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and obtain all the analytical expressions of the decay widths among the S-wave, P-wave and D-wave bottom mesons, and calculate the decay widths of the $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ and $B(5970)$, which can be compared to the experimental data in the future.

The article is arranged as follows: we study the two-body strong decays of the bottom mesons $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ and $B(5970)$ with the heavy meson effective theory in Sect.2; in Sect.3, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.4 is reserved for our conclusions.

2 The strong decays with the heavy meson effective theory

In the heavy quark limit, the heavy-light mesons $Q\bar{q}$ can be classified in doublets according to the total angular momentum of the light antiquark $\vec{s}_\ell$, $\vec{s}_\ell = \vec{s}_{\bar{q}} + \vec{L}$, where the $\vec{s}_{\bar{q}}$ and $\vec{L}$ are the spin and orbital angular momentum of the light antiquark, respectively [27]. The doublet (P, P^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_\ell}^P = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $L = 0$ (S-wave); the two doublets (P_0^*, P_1) and (P_1, P_2^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_\ell}^P = (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ respectively for $L = 1$ (P-wave); the two doublets (P_1^*, P_2) and (P_2, P_3^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_\ell}^P = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}}$ respectively for $L = 2$ (D-wave). In the heavy meson effective theory, those doublets with the same radial quantum

numbers can be described by the effective super-fields H_a , S_a , T_a , X_a and Y_a , respectively [28],

$$\begin{aligned}
H_a &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \{P_{a\mu}^* \gamma^\mu - P_a \gamma_5\} , \\
S_a &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \{P_{1a}^\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 - P_{0a}^*\} , \\
T_a^\mu &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{2a}^{*\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu - P_{1a\nu} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma_5 \left[g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma^\nu (\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)}{3} \right] \right\} , \\
X_a^\mu &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{2a}^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu - P_{1a\nu}^* \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma^\nu (\gamma^\mu + v^\mu)}{3} \right] \right\} , \\
Y_a^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{3a}^{*\mu\nu\sigma} \gamma_\sigma - P_{2a}^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \gamma_5 \left[g_\alpha^\mu g_\beta^\nu - \frac{g_\beta^\nu \gamma_\alpha (\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)}{5} - \frac{g_\alpha^\mu \gamma_\beta (\gamma^\nu - v^\nu)}{5} \right] \right\} , \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the heavy meson fields $P^{(*)}$ contain a factor $\sqrt{M_{P^{(*)}}}$ and have dimension of mass $\frac{3}{2}$. The super-fields H_a contain the S-wave mesons (P, P^*); S_a , T_a contain the P-wave mesons ($\bar{P}_0^*, P_1$), (P_1, P_2^*) respectively; X_a , Y_a contain the D-wave mesons (P_1^*, P_2), (P_2, P_3^*) respectively.

The light pseudoscalar mesons are described by the fields $\xi = e^{\frac{iM}{f_\pi}}$, where

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix} ,$$

and $f_\pi = 130$ MeV.

At the leading order approximation, the heavy meson chiral Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathcal{L}_{HH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{SH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{TH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XS}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XT}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YS}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YT}$ for the two-body strong decays to the light pseudoscalar mesons can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 &= i\text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} H_b \} + i\text{Tr} \{ \bar{S}_a v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} S_b \} + i\text{Tr} \{ \bar{T}_a^\mu v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} T_{\mu b} \} + i\text{Tr} \{ \bar{X}_a^\mu v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} X_{\mu b} \} \\
&\quad + i\text{Tr} \{ \bar{Y}_a^{\mu\nu} v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} Y_{\mu\nu b} \} - \delta m_S \text{Tr} \{ \bar{S}_a S_a \} - \delta m_T \text{Tr} \{ \bar{T}_a^\mu T_{\mu a} \} - \delta m_X \text{Tr} \{ \bar{X}_a^\mu X_{\mu a} \} \\
&\quad - \delta m_Y \text{Tr} \{ \bar{Y}_a^{\mu\nu} Y_{\mu\nu a} \} , \\
\mathcal{L}_{HH} &= g_{HH} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a H_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{ba}^\mu \} , \\
\mathcal{L}_{SH} &= g_{SH} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a S_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{ba}^\mu \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{TH} &= \frac{g_{TH}}{\Lambda} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a T_b^\mu (i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_\mu)_{ba} \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{XH} &= \frac{g_{XH}}{\Lambda} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a X_b^\mu (i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_\mu)_{ba} \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{XS} &= \frac{g_{XS}}{\Lambda} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{S}_a X_b^\mu (i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_\mu)_{ba} \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{XT} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{T}_a^\mu X_b^\nu [k_1^T \{ \mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu \} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^T (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{YH} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{H}_a Y_b^{\mu\nu} [k_1^H \{ \mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu \} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^H (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{YS} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{S}_a Y_b^{\mu\nu} [k_1^S \{ \mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu \} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^S (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \\
\mathcal{L}_{YT} &= \frac{g_{YT}}{\Lambda} \text{Tr} \{ \bar{T}_{a\mu} X_b^{\mu\nu} (i\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_\nu)_{ba} \gamma_5 \} + h.c. , \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu &= \partial_\mu + \mathcal{V}_\mu, \\
\mathcal{V}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} (\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi + \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger), \\
\mathcal{A}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} (\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi - \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger), \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu\} &= \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$\delta m_S = m_S - m_H$, $\delta m_T = m_T - m_H$, $\delta m_X = m_X - m_H$, $\delta m_Y = m_Y - m_H$, Λ is the chiral symmetry-breaking scale and chosen as $\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV}$ [22], the hadronic coupling constants g_{HH} , g_{SH} , g_{TH} , g_{XH} , g_{XS} , $g_{XT} = k_1^T + k_2^T$, $g_{YH} = k_1^H + k_2^H$, $g_{YS} = k_1^S + k_2^S$ and g_{YT} depend on the radial quantum numbers of the heavy mesons, and can be fitted to the experimental data, if they are available. The heavy meson chiral Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{HH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{SH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{TH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XH}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{YH}$ are taken from Ref.[29], the $\mathcal{L}_{XS}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XT}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YS}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{YT}$ are constructed accordingly in this article. The flavor and spin violation corrections of order $\mathcal{O}(1/m_Q)$ are neglected, we expect that the corrections are not larger than (or as large as) the leading order contributions.

From the heavy meson chiral Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{HH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{SH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{TH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XS}$, $\mathcal{L}_{XT}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YH}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YS}$, $\mathcal{L}_{YT}$, we can obtain the widths Γ of the two-body strong decays to the light pseudoscalar mesons,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum \frac{p_f}{8\pi M_i^2} |\mathcal{A}|^2, \\
p_f &= \frac{\sqrt{(M_i^2 - (M_f + m_{\mathcal{P}})^2)(M_i^2 - (M_f - m_{\mathcal{P}})^2)}}{2M_i},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the scattering amplitudes, the i and f denote the initial and final state heavy mesons, respectively, the J is the total angular momentum of the initial heavy meson, the $\sum$ denotes the summation of all the polarization vectors, and the $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the light pseudoscalar mesons.

Now we write down the explicit expressions of the decay widths Γ in different channels. There are 53 expressions, 14 expressions are originally obtained in previous works by other authors [21, 23, 30], while 39 expressions are obtained in this article.

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{HH}^2 M_f p_f^3}{3\pi f_\pi^2 M_i}, \tag{5}$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{HH}^2 M_f p_f^3}{6\pi f_\pi^2 M_i}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{HH}^2 M_f p_f^3}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i}, \tag{7}$$

which take place through relative P-wave, the experimental candidates are $B(5970)^0(2S) \rightarrow B^+ \pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(2S) \rightarrow B^0 \pi^+$ [7]. The three expressions differ from that obtained in early works by some factors [30], while they are consistent with that obtained in Ref.[21], as the same conventions are taken in the present work and in Refs.[19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

- $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{SH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i}, \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma(0^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{SH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i}, \tag{9}$$

which take place through relative S-wave, the $1P(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states are expected to be broad, no experimental candidate exists at present time. The two expressions differ from that obtained in early works by some factors [30], while they are consistent with that in Ref.[21].

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{SH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_{\pi}^2 M_i}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 0^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{SH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_{\pi}^2 M_i}, \quad (11)$$

which take place through relative S-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{5\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (14)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, the experimental candidates are $B_1(5721)^0(1P) \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, $B_2(5747)^0(1P) \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, $B^+\pi^-$ [2, 3], $B_{s1}(5830)^0(1P) \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$ [4, 5, 6], $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0(1P) \rightarrow B^+K^-$ [4, 5, 6], $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0(1P) \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-$ [6]. The three expressions differ from that obtained in early works by some factors [30], while they are consistent with that in Ref.[21].

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (15)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (16)$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{TH}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (17)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time. The phase-spaces of the decays $B(5970)^0(2S) \rightarrow B_1^+\pi^-$, $B_2^{*+}\pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(2S) \rightarrow B_1^0\pi^+$, $B_2^{*0}\pi^+$ are very small.

- $(1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (18)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (20)$$

which take place through relative P-wave, the experimental candidates are $B(5970)^0(1D) \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(1D) \rightarrow B^0\pi^+$ [7]. The three expressions are consistent with that originally obtained in Ref.[21].

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{10g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (21)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (22)$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{XH}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (23)$$

which take place through relative P-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{5\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (24)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 0^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (25)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (26)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (28)$$

$$\Gamma(0^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{XS}^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (29)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{17g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (30)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (31)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (32)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (33)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time. The phase-spaces of the decays $B(5970)^0(1D) \rightarrow B_1^+ \pi^-$, $B_2^{*+} \pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(1D) \rightarrow B_1^0 \pi^+$, $B_2^{*0} \pi^+$ are very small.

- $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{17g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (34)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (35)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (36)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_{XT}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{3\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (37)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{16g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{105\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (38)$$

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{35\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (39)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (40)$$

which take place through relative F-wave, the experimental candidates are $B(5970)^0(1D) \rightarrow B^+ \pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(1D) \rightarrow B^0 \pi^+$ [7]. The three expressions are consistent with that originally obtained in Refs.[21, 23].

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 3^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{16g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (41)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{9\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (42)$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 3^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YH}^2 M_f p_f^7}{5\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (43)$$

which take place through relative F-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \rightarrow (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (44)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{8g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{75\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (45)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 0^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{25\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (46)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 3^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{28g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (47)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{8g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (48)$$

$$\Gamma(0^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YS}^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^5}{5\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^4 M_i}, \quad (49)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

- $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \rightarrow (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{15\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (50)$$

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{45\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (51)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 2^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{7g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{75\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (52)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^+) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{49g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{225\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (53)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time. The phase-spaces of the decays $B(5970)^0(1D) \rightarrow B_1^+ \pi^-$, $B_2^{*+} \pi^-$, $B(5970)^+(1D) \rightarrow B_1^0 \pi^+$, $B_2^{*0} \pi^+$ are very small.

- $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$,

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 3^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{28g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{75\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (54)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{7g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{75\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (55)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 3^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{14g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{135\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (56)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 2^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{49g_{YT}^2 M_f p_f^5}{135\pi f_{\pi}^2 \Lambda^2 M_i}, \quad (57)$$

which take place through relative D-wave, no experimental candidate exists at present time.

The coefficients $C_{\pi^{\pm}} = C_{K^{\pm}} = C_{K^0} = C_{\bar{K}^0} = 1$, $C_{\pi^0} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $C_{\eta} = \frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{2}{3}$; the values $C_{\eta} = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ correspond to the initial states $b\bar{u}$ (or $b\bar{d}$) and $b\bar{s}$ states, respectively. There are minor errors in Ref.[20], the numerical values of the decay widths concerning the final state η in Table 4-7 should be divided by 4, as the coefficient $C_{\eta} = \frac{2}{3}$ in stead of $C_{\eta} = \frac{1}{6}$ is taken in Ref.[20].

3 Numerical Results and Discussions

The input parameters are taken as $M_{\pi^+} = 139.57$ MeV, $M_{\pi^0} = 134.9766$ MeV, $M_{K^+} = 493.677$ MeV, $M_{K^0} = 497.614$ MeV, $M_{\eta} = 547.853$ MeV, $M_{B^+} = 5.27925$ GeV, $M_{B^0} = 5.27955$ GeV, $M_{B^*} = 5.3252$ GeV, $M_{B_1(5721)} = 5.7235$ GeV, $M_{B_2(5747)} = 5.743$ GeV, $M_{B_s} = 5.3667$ GeV, $M_{B_s^*} =$

5.4158 GeV, $M_{B_{s1}(5830)} = 5.8287$ GeV, $M_{B_{s2}(5840)} = 5.83996$ GeV [17], and $M_{B(5970)} = 5978$ MeV [7].

The numerical values of the decay widths of the bottom mesons $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(2650)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$, $B(5970)$ are presented in Table 2, where we retain the strong coupling constants g_{HH} , g_{TH} , g_{XH} , g_{YH} , g_{XT} and g_{YT} .

The values $\Gamma_{B_2^*} = (22.7^{+3.8+3.2}_{-3.2-10.2})$ MeV and $\Gamma_{B_{s2}^*} = (1.56 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.47)$ MeV listed in the Review of Particle Physics are taken from the experimental data of the CDF collaboration [3] and LHCb collaboration [6], respectively. Recently, the CDF collaboration measured all the widths of the B_1^0 , B_2^{*0} , B_1^+ , B_2^{*+} , B_{s1} and B_{s2}^* for the first time [7]. We can saturate the widths of the B_1^0 , B_2^{*0} , B_{s1} , B_{s2}^* with the two-body strong decays and confront the decay widths in Table 2 with the experimental data,

$$\Gamma_{B_1(5721)^0} = 0.10683 g_{TH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (20 \pm 2 \pm 5) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (58)$$

$$\Gamma_{B_2(5747)^0} = 0.17870 g_{TH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (26 \pm 3 \pm 3) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (59)$$

$$\Gamma_{B_{s1}(5830)} = 0.00011 g_{TH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (0.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (60)$$

$$\Gamma_{B_{s2}(5840)} = 0.00929 g_{TH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (2.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (61)$$

to obtain the hadronic coupling constant g_{TH} ,

$$g_{TH} = 0.433 \pm 0.058 \quad \text{from} \quad \Gamma_{B_1(5721)^0}, \quad (62)$$

$$g_{TH} = 0.381 \pm 0.031 \quad \text{from} \quad \Gamma_{B_2(5747)^0}, \quad (63)$$

$$g_{TH} = 0.464 \pm 0.052 \quad \text{from} \quad \Gamma_{B_{s2}(5840)}, \quad (64)$$

where we neglect the large value $g_{TH} = 2.52$ from the small decay width $\Gamma_{B_{s1}(5830)}$, the strong decays of the $B_{s1}(5830)$ are greatly depressed in the phase-space. The average value is

$$g_{TH} = 0.43 \pm 0.05, \quad (65)$$

which is consistent with the value $h' = 0.43 \pm 0.01$ extracted from the decays of the charmed mesons [21]. The heavy quark symmetry works well, a universal hadronic coupling constant g_{TH} (or h') exists. We extract the hadronic coupling constant g_{TH} in the bottom sector for the first time.

The $B(5970)$ have three possible assignments: the $2S 1^-$, $1D 1^-$ and $1D 3^-$ states, the corresponding two-body strong decays are quite different, the numerical values of the decay widths are shown explicitly in Table 2. From Table 2, we can obtain the ratios among the partial decay widths so as to identify the $B(5970)$ by confronting them with the experimental data in the future, as the hadronic coupling constants are canceled out. Again, we can saturate the widths with the two-body strong decays to the S-wave ground mesons, as the decays to the P-wave mesons are greatly depressed in the phase-space, and confront the widths with the experimental data from the CDF collaboration [7],

$$\Gamma_{B(5970)^0(2S 1^-)} = 3.21730 g_{HH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (70 \pm 18 \pm 31) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (66)$$

$$\Gamma_{B(5970)^0(1D 1^-)} = 1.93262 g_{XH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (70 \pm 18 \pm 31) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (67)$$

$$\Gamma_{B(5970)^0(1D 3^-)} = 0.24541 g_{YH}^2 \text{ GeV} = (70 \pm 18 \pm 31) \text{ MeV} [7], \quad (68)$$

to obtain the hadronic coupling constants,

$$g_{HH} = 0.148 \pm 0.038, \quad (69)$$

$$g_{XH} = 0.190 \pm 0.049, \quad (70)$$

$$g_{YH} = 0.534 \pm 0.137. \quad (71)$$

We can take those hadronic coupling constants as basic input parameters and calculate the partial decay widths. The numerical values of the partial decay widths are shown explicitly in Table 3,

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]
$B_1(5721)$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$ $B^{*0} \pi^0$	$0.07068 g_{TH}^2$ $0.03615 g_{TH}^2$		
$B_2^*(5747)$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$ $B^{*0} \pi^0$	$0.05495 g_{TH}^2$ $0.02804 g_{TH}^2$	$B^+ \pi^-$ $B^0 \pi^0$	$0.06353 g_{TH}^2$ $0.03218 g_{TH}^2$
$B_{s1}(5830)$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	$B^{*+} K^-$ $B^{*0} \bar{K}^0$	$0.00009 g_{TH}^2$ $0.00002 g_{TH}^2$		
$B_{s2}^*(5840)$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	$B^{*+} K^-$ $B^{*0} \bar{K}^0$	$0.00036 g_{TH}^2$ $0.00022 g_{TH}^2$	$B^+ K^-$ $B^0 \bar{K}^0$	$0.00469 g_{TH}^2$ $0.00402 g_{TH}^2$
$B(5970)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_s^* K^0$ $B^{*0} \pi^0$ $B^{*0} \eta$ $B_2^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_2^{*0} \pi^0$	$1.22526 g_{HH}^2$ $0.08790 g_{HH}^2$ $0.61549 g_{HH}^2$ $0.03510 g_{HH}^2$ $0.00264 g_{TH}^2$ $0.00144 g_{TH}^2$	$B^+ \pi^-$ $B_s K^0$ $B^0 \pi^0$ $B^0 \eta$ $B_1^+ \pi^-$ $B_1^0 \pi^0$	$0.74252 g_{HH}^2$ $0.10746 g_{HH}^2$ $0.37232 g_{HH}^2$ $0.03125 g_{HH}^2$ $0.00471 g_{TH}^2$ $0.00252 g_{TH}^2$
$B(5970)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 1^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_s^* K^0$ $B^{*0} \pi^0$ $B^{*0} \eta$ $B_2^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_2^{*0} \pi^0$	$0.31277 g_{XH}^2$ $0.01815 g_{XH}^2$ $0.15706 g_{XH}^2$ $0.00965 g_{XH}^2$ $0.00002 g_{XT}^2$ $0.00001 g_{XT}^2$	$B^+ \pi^-$ $B_s K^0$ $B^0 \pi^0$ $B^0 \eta$ $B_1^+ \pi^-$ $B_1^0 \pi^0$	$0.86132 g_{XH}^2$ $0.10342 g_{XH}^2$ $0.43140 g_{XH}^2$ $0.03885 g_{XH}^2$ $0.00015 g_{XT}^2$ $0.00008 g_{XT}^2$
$B(5970)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 3^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_s^* K^0$ $B^{*0} \pi^0$ $B^{*0} \eta$ $B_2^{*+} \pi^-$ $B_2^{*0} \pi^0$	$0.07398 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00015 g_{YH}^2$ $0.03739 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00020 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00106 g_{YT}^2$ $0.00058 g_{YT}^2$	$B^+ \pi^-$ $B_s K^0$ $B^0 \pi^0$ $B^0 \eta$ $B_1^+ \pi^-$ $B_1^0 \pi^0$	$0.08791 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00095 g_{YH}^2$ $0.04424 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00059 g_{YH}^2$ $0.00031 g_{YT}^2$ $0.00017 g_{YT}^2$

Table 2: The strong decay widths of the bottom mesons $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ and $B(5970)$.

which can be directly confronted with the experimental data from the LHCb, CDF, D0 and KEK-B collaborations in the future to identify the $B(5970)$.

We can also study the decays to the light vector mesons V besides the pseudoscalar mesons $\mathcal{P}$ with the replacement $\mathcal{V}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\mu + V_\mu$, and introduce additional phenomenological Lagrangians [30], therefore additional unknown coupling constants. The decays to the vector mesons V are depressed in the phase-space compared to the light pseudoscalar mesons, we prefer to study those decays when the experimental data are accumulated. On the other hand, we can also study those strong decays with the chiral quark models [31].

The heavy-light mesons listed in the Review of Particle Physics can be classified into the spin doublets in the heavy quark limit, such as
the $1S (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doublets (B, B^*) , (D, D^*) , (B_s, B_s^*) , (D_s, D_s^*) ;
the $1P (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doublets $(D_0^*(2400), D_1(2430))$, $(D_{s0}^*(2317), D_{s1}(2460))$;
the $1P (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ doublets $(B_1(5721), B_2^*(5747))$, $(D_1(2420), D_2^*(2460))$, $(B_{s1}(5830), B_{s2}^*(5840))$, $(D_{s1}(2536), D_{s2}^*(2573))$ [17];
the $1D (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ doublets $(D_J^*(2760/2760), D_J(2740/2750))$ [20], $(B(5970), \dots)$;
the $1D (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}}$ doublets $(D_J(2740/2750), D_J^*(2760/2760))$ [20], $(\dots, D_{sJ}(2860))$ [23], $(\dots, B(5970))$;
the $2S (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doublets $(D_J(2580/2550), D_J^*(2650/2600))$ [19, 20], $(\dots, D_{s1}^*(2700))$ [24],

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	Widths [MeV]	Decay channels	Widths [MeV]
$B(5970)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$	26.8 ± 13.8	$B^+ \pi^-$	16.3 ± 8.4
		$B_s^* K^0$	1.9 ± 1.0	$B_s K^0$	2.4 ± 1.2
		$B^{*0} \pi^0$	13.5 ± 6.9	$B^0 \pi^0$	8.2 ± 4.2
		$B^{*0} \eta$	0.8 ± 0.4	$B^0 \eta$	0.7 ± 0.4
$B(5970)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 1^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$	11.3 ± 5.8	$B^+ \pi^-$	31.1 ± 16.0
		$B_s^* K^0$	0.7 ± 0.3	$B_s K^0$	3.7 ± 1.9
		$B^{*0} \pi^0$	5.7 ± 2.9	$B^0 \pi^0$	15.6 ± 8.0
		$B^{*0} \eta$	0.3 ± 0.2	$B^0 \eta$	1.4 ± 0.7
$B(5970)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 3^-$	$B^{*+} \pi^-$	21.1 ± 10.8	$B^+ \pi^-$	25.1 ± 12.9
		$B_s^* K^0$	< 0.1	$B_s K^0$	0.3 ± 0.1
		$B^{*0} \pi^0$	10.7 ± 5.5	$B^0 \pi^0$	12.6 ± 6.5
		$B^{*0} \eta$	< 0.1	$B^0 \eta$	0.2 ± 0.1

Table 3: The strong decay widths of the bottom mesons $B(5970)$ with three possible assignments.

($\dots\dots, B(5970)$);

the 2P $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doublet ($\dots\dots, D_{sJ}(3040)$) [25];

the 2P $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ doublets ($D_{sJ}(3040), \dots\dots$) [25].

The spin doublets are far from complete, but we expect a complete spectrum of the heavy mesons will be available from the experimental data of the LHCb, CDF, D0 and Belle-II collaborations in the futures. In this article, we obtain a large number of simple expressions for the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka allowed two-body strong decays, and we can use those simple expressions to calculate the partial decay widths of the pseudoscalar meson transitions among the spin doublets in a few minutes.

The mass is a fundamental parameter in describing a hadron (or a meson), however, a hadron (or a meson) cannot be identified unambiguously by the mass alone, furthermore, the heavy-light meson masses from different theoretical approaches differ from each other in one way or another, and vary in a rather large range [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], we have to resort to the productions and decays to identify the special meson. We can calculate the partial decay widths in different channels using the simple expressions obtained in this article, then calculate the ratios among different channels and confront them with the experimental data to identify the heavy mesons in the futures. On the other hand, we can obtain the hadronic coupling constants $g_{HH}, g_{SH}, g_{TH}, \dots$ from both the charm sector and bottom sector, and examine the heavy quark symmetry. Once the hadronic coupling constants $g_{HH}, g_{SH}, g_{TH}, \dots$ are determined unambiguously, we can take them as basic input parameters and perform phenomenological analysis. For example, if the $B(5970)$ is the $2S 1^- b\bar{q}$ state, then the hadronic coupling constant $g_{HH} = 0.148 \pm 0.038$, we can take it as the basic input parameter to calculate the strong decay widths (also the ratios among the strong decay widths) of the partners $2S 1^- b\bar{s}$ state and $2S 0^- b\bar{q}, b\bar{s}$ states, which are expected to be observed by the LHCb, CDF, D0 and Belle-II collaborations in the futures.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we study the two-body strong decays of the bottom mesons with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and obtain all the analytical expressions of the widths among the S-wave, P-wave and D-wave bottom mesons. As an application, we tentatively assign the bottom mesons $B(5970)$ as the $2S 1^-$, $1D 1^-$ and $1D 3^-$ states, calculate the decay widths of the $B_1(5721)$, $B_2(5747)$, $B_{s1}(5830)$, $B_{s2}(5840)$ and $B(5970)$, and obtain the hadronic coupling constants by comparing them to the experimental data and make predications of the

decay widths, which can be confronted with the experimental data from the LHCb, CDF, D0 and KEK-B collaborations in the future to identify the $B(5970)$.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 11375063, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province, Grant Number A2014502017.

References

- [1] P. Abreu et al, Phys. Lett. **B345** (1995) 598; R. Akers et al, Z. Phys. **C66** (1995) 19; D. Buskulic et al, Z. Phys. **C69** (1996) 393; R. Barate et al, Phys. Lett. **B425** (1998) 215.
- [2] V. M. Abazov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 172001.
- [3] T. Aaltonen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 102003.
- [4] T. Aaltonen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 082001.
- [5] V. Abazov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 082002.
- [6] R. Aaij et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 151803.
- [7] T. Aaltonen et al, arXiv:1309.5961.
- [8] A. F. Falk and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 231; D. Ebert, V. O. Galkin, R. N. Faustov, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 5663; N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 4041; A. H. Orsland and H. Hogaasen, Eur. Phys. J. **C9** (1999) 503; T. Matsuki, T. Morii and K. Sudoh, Prog. Theor. Phys. **117** (2007) 1077.
- [9] R. Lewis and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 114507; A. M. Green, J. Koponen, C. McNeile, C. Michael and G. Thompson, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 094505.
- [10] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 189; S. Godfrey and R. Kokoski, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 1679; T. A. Lahde, C. J. Nyfalt and D. O. Riska, Nucl. Phys. **A674** (2000) 141.
- [11] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Eur. Phys. J. **C66** (2010) 197.
- [12] M. Di Pierro and E. Eichten, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 114004.
- [13] E. J. Eichten, C. T. Hill and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 4116.
- [14] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and R. Ferrandes, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **163** (2007) 177.
- [15] Yu. S. Kalashnikova and A. V. Nefediev, Phys. Lett. **B530** (2002) 117.
- [16] E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rept. **429** (2006) 243; E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, Phys. Rept. **454** (2007) 1.
- [17] J. Beringer et al, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001.
- [18] Y. Sun, Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1401.1595.
- [19] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 014009.
- [20] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013) 114003.

- [21] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 054024.
- [22] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and R. Ferrandes, Phys. Lett. **B634** (2006) 235.
- [23] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and S. Nicotri, Phys. Lett. **B642** (2006) 48.
- [24] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, S. Nicotri and M. Rizzi, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 014012.
- [25] P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 094001.
- [26] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. **B302** (1993) 95; F. De Fazio, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 054015; Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **A47** (2011) 94; Z. G. He, X. R. Lu, J. Soto and Y. Zheng, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 054028; Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51** (2012) 1518; Z. G. Wang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A27** (2012) 1250197; Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **57** (2012) 93.
- [27] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10** (2000) 1; M. Neubert, Phys. Rept. **245** (1994) 259.
- [28] A. F. Falk, Nucl. Phys. **B378** (1992) 79; A. F. Falk and M. E. Luke, Phys. Lett. **B292** (1992) 119.
- [29] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2188; G. Burdman and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Lett. **B280** (1992) 287; P. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B285** (1992) 145; U. Kilian, J. G. Korner and D. Pirjol, Phys. Lett. **B288** (1992) 360.
- [30] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rept. **281** (1997) 145.
- [31] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 014029.